

## Thue-Morse constant approximants' involvement in scaling the muon – electron g-factor ratio?

F.J. Culetto and W. Culetto\*)

*Private Research-Associates, Stallhofen 59-60, A-9821 Obervellach, Austria*

\*Electronic address: werner.culetto@inode.at

(Dated: August 25, 2013)

### Abstract

While making some progress in improvement of former approximation formulas' quality of fit by use of the Thue-Morse constant's approximants (see the file sciencephilosophy10.pdf of our [http://culetto.at/private\\_research\\_associates/](http://culetto.at/private_research_associates/) ...website), approximations to the numerical value of the *muon – electron g-factor ratio* were found too. We aren't saying that there really is a causal connection. Nevertheless, the find (if such) would be another puzzle-piece in the pattern of Mandelbrot set M's likely possible role in giving to (relative) constants of nature the values they happen to have.

### A far-reaching influence of the parity constant?

Starting from the NIST/CODATA 2010 values for the electron and muon g-factors  $g_e$  and  $g_\mu$ , the  $g_\mu/g_e$  ratio was calculated and is 1.000 006 261 45(65), its accuracy limited by the muon g-factor's standard uncertainty of  $1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ . By Mandelbrot set's main bifurcation series roots' external angles from Hubbard/Douady's external angle/ray method the said g-factor ratio can be approximated by the relation

$$\ln\left(\frac{g_\mu}{g_e}\right) \approx \frac{\xi(c_4)}{\xi(c_D)} \ln\left(\frac{\xi(c_D)}{\xi(c_{16})}\right), \quad \text{Eq.(1)}$$

where the  $\xi(c_k)$ ,  $k=2^n$ , are the upper external angles belonging to the roots of the  $2^{\text{nd}}$ , the  $4^{\text{th}}$  and the infinite bifurcation of the main series on M's real c-axis,  $c_D$  being the Myrberg-Feigenbaum point's coordinate. As the upper external angles used are known to converge to the Thue-Morse (also called parity) constant  $P$  ( $= 0.412\ 454\dots$ ), the  $n=2$  and  $4$  bifurcation external angles  $2/5$  and  $106/257$  may be seen as its  $P_2$  and  $P_4$  approximants, respectively. The fit value for the log of the g-ratio, gotten from Eq.(1), is 0.000 006 282 170... compared with 0.000 006 261 430... calculated from the NIST/CODATA g-factor values. If one felt that the log's pre-factor be a too constructed one, the much simpler expression

$$g_\mu P_4 \approx g_e P \quad \text{Eq.(2)}$$

still would give an acceptable approximation (to 0.22ppm) to the g-factor ratio calculated from the NIST/CODATA recommended data.

### Conclusion

Making use of the  $n=4$  approximant to  $P$  instead of  $P$  itself (i.e. stopping at the  $4^{\text{th}}$  bifurcation of M's main series) did significantly enhance the precision of fit in case of the proton – electron rest mass ratio approximation (see our pdf sciencephilosophy5 and 10). That  $P_4$  again appears in a leptons' g-factor-ratio approximation could well be some sign of the parity constant's (and thus of digital properties') significance on the smallest-scale level.