## Appendix (F1): Internal supplement (Aug. 2020): Hausdorff dimension and particle motion

F.J. Culetto and W. Culetto Private Research-Associates, Stallhofen 59-60, 9821 Obervellach, Austria

Within our tentative description of all things / structures and the dynamics there are by using Julia set fractals J and their control spaces / connectedness loci (for the second order polynomials iterated, the main and midget Mandelbrot M sets), J(z)'s effective Hausdorff dimension  $D_H^{eff}(J)$ ,  $1 \le D_H^{eff}(J) \le 2$ , carries relative information on particles' motion and mass. As the Js are subsets of the plane, a simple method for restricting  $D_H(J(z))$  to [1, 2] in all cases of non-pathological relative motion would be its tentative, exchange-symmetric (abbreviated) formulation as

$$D_{H}^{res} = \frac{D_{H}^{(p)} D_{H}^{(sys)}}{1 + (D_{H}^{(p)} - 1)(D_{H}^{(sys)} - 1)} , \qquad Eq.(1)$$

where (p) denotes the moving (quantum) particle. For  $(D_H^{(p; sys)} - 1) = \beta^{(p; sys)}$ , the composition law of velocities in SR is recoverable, as was shown in the Appendix (E) presented on our <u>www.culetto.at</u> website. In this case, the masses ratio m/m<sub>0</sub> and its Taylor-series expansion would be

In case of small complex  $\lambda$ , the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set J belonging to the iterative  $z \rightarrow z^q + \lambda$  map was calculated by D. Ruelle (1982, Ergod.Th. & Dyn. Syst. 2, 99 -107), and – converted to our quadratic-J<sub>C</sub> situation (t = D<sub>H</sub>(J<sub>C</sub>), q = 2 and  $\lambda$  = C) – is

$$D_{H}(J_{\mathbb{C}}) = 1 + \frac{|\mathbb{C}|^2}{4\ln(2)} + \text{ higher-order-in-}\mathbb{C} \text{ terms}$$
 Eq.(3)

As initially thought (and expressed in our Appendix (B)), for the *low velocity regime* of classical dynamics,  $m/m_0 \approx D_H(J_c)$  could have been the likely simplest relation/ansatz. But as given in Eq.(2),  $m/m_0 = f(D_H(J_c))$  is not that simple, SR's  $\beta$  would thus result in

$$D_{H}(J_{\mathbb{C}}) - 1 = \frac{|\mathbb{C}|^2}{4\ln(2)} + \text{ higher-order-in-}\mathbb{C} \text{ terms },$$
 Eq.(4)

the term quadratic in  $\mathbb{C}$  now being the right formulation of our *Dorfer's*  $\beta$  suggestion.